# Anti-money Laundering Legislation and Accepting Direct Briefs

- ►Tuesday 29 April 2025
- ►Chair:
- ► Douglas Campbell KC
- **Presenters:**
- ▶ Juliana Warner (President, Law Council of Australia)
- ► David Marks KC

### Change is coming - & LCA is negotiating

- What the LCA is doing about AML/CTF in negotiations
  - scope,
  - exemptions,
  - legal aid, community legal centres, ATSILS,
  - judge referrals to bar associations/barristers;
  - government lawyers, government briefs
- Identifying regulated work
- Deciding whether to take regulated work
- ► The obligations that follow from deciding to take regulated work

### Don't slip into AML designated services

- Not undertaking regulated work unconsciously -
- Knowing what is designated work, and mentally checking off each category before accepting a direct brief
- Monitoring a direct brief, say in a family matter, which turns into a negotiation about property in a conference room outside the courtroom, and dropping the matter at that point potentially.
- Not undertaking regulated work without fulfilling AML obligations

## What it you do undertake designated services outside the barristers' exception?

- Then the full disaster of:
  - Formulating a compliance plan
  - Implementing a compliance plan
  - KYC CDD, EDD
  - Review/audit of compliance and plan
  - DD on employees/contractors
  - Periodic fees and charges (if any)
  - Reporting see below as DWM to give an example, and ask for any better examples
  - Record-keeping
  - Suspicious transaction reporting
  - Real life egs still needed for the LPP exemption from suspicious transaction reporting

### Barristers' exception(?)

- What the barristers' exception actually entails:
  - Limited to briefs from solicitors, not other professionals
  - Limited to solicitors who are doing the designated activity
  - ▶ How the Bar can continue to provide advice to accountants and other professionals
  - Whether Legal Aid and Community Legal Centres will be sufficient for the exception
  - ► In-house solicitors not sufficient for the exception(?)
  - ► Thus whether AGS and Crown Law not sufficient for the exception(??)

#### Thanks!

- Thanks to our guest, Juliana Warner!
- ▶ & thanks to David Marks KC, Hemmant's List

Liability limited by a scheme approved under professional standards legislation